

**Federal Courthouse Construction Projects  
September 2004**

**35 Projects Not Yet in Design that are Subject to the Moratorium**

- |                       |                         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Burlington, VT     | 19. Grand Rapids, MI    |
| 2. Rochester, NY      | 20. Marquette, MI       |
| 3. Syracuse, NY       | 21. Columbus, OH        |
| 4. Philadelphia, PA   | 22. Chattanooga, TN     |
| 5. Wilmington, DE     | 23. Benton, IL          |
| 6. Baltimore, MD      | 24. Green Bay, WI       |
| 7. Greenbelt, MD      | 25. Peoria, IL          |
| 8. Durham, NC         | 26. Des Moines, IA      |
| 9. Greensboro, NC     | 27. Jefferson City, MO  |
| 10. Clarksburg, WV    | 28. Sioux Falls, SD     |
| 11. Alexandria, LA    | 29. Tulsa, OK           |
| 12. Aberdeen, MS      | 30. Birmingham, AL      |
| 13. Dallas, TX        | 31. Huntsville, AL      |
| 14. Houston, TX       | 32. Fort Lauderdale, FL |
| 15. Bowling Green, KY | 33. Panama City, FL     |
| 16. Lexington, KY     | 34. West Palm Beach, FL |
| 17. Pikeville, KY     | 35. Macon, GA           |
| 18. Flint, MI         |                         |

**Seven Projects with Appropriations and Congressional Authorizations  
That Are Ready to Start Design;  
Subject to the Moratorium**

1. Harrisburg, PA
2. Charlotte, NC
3. Greenville, SC
4. San Antonio, TX
5. Toledo, OH
6. San Jose, CA
7. Anniston, AL

## Federal Courthouse Construction Projects September 2004

Courthouses that have designs mostly completed and **are not subject to the moratorium**; however, no commitment was made to seek construction funds for these projects. They will be discussed further by the Judicial Conference Committee on Security and Facilities.

1. Buffalo, NY
  2. Savannah, GA
  3. Mobile, AL
- 

Courthouses that are in the midst of design **are not subject to the moratorium and should proceed with design, but only if the courts involved enter into discussions with their circuit judicial councils and Administrative Office staff about ways to reduce the scope of the projects.** No commitment was made by the Committee to seek construction funding for these projects, and this issue will be discussed further by the Judicial Conference Committee on Security and Facilities.

1. Norfolk, VA
  2. Austin, TX
  3. Jackson, MS
  4. Nashville, TN
  5. Rockford, IL
  6. Cedar Rapids, IA
  7. Salt Lake City, UT
  8. Fort Pierce, FL
- 

Courthouse projects designated as emergencies by the Judicial Conference. Full funding for these projects is an urgent priority. The 24-month moratorium does not apply.

1. Los Angeles, CA
2. El Paso, TX
3. San Diego, CA
4. Las Cruces, NM